LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Open Access

Crigler-Najjar syndrome: looking to the future does not make us forget the present

(2024) 19:102



Fabiola Di Dato¹, Giuseppe D'Uonno¹ and Raffaele Iorio^{1*}

Abstract

Recently, the safety and efficacy of gene therapy were evaluated in patients with Crigler-Najjar syndrome (CNS). Although it is a promising curative option for CNS, many doubts still persist about its long-term efficacy and safety. Furthermore, there is a risk of overlooking several unresolved problems still present in current clinical practice. This letter is a call for action on crucial open issues that remain nowadays an unmet need in the management of CNS patients.

Keywords Gene therapy, Liver transplantation, Phenobarbital, Phototherapy

To the Editor.

Crigler-Najjar syndrome (CNS), described for the first time in 1952 [1], is a rare autosomic recessive inherited metabolic disorder of liver caused by mutation of UGT1A1 gene that encodes the hepatic UDP-glucuronosyltransferase.

Two forms of CNS have been described: type I (CNS-I) and type II (CNS-II) [2]. CNS-I patients completely lack UGT1A1 enzyme activity and, if not promptly treated with phototherapy, develop severe neurological damage. Instead, CNS-II patients have a residual UGT1A1 enzyme activity (less than 10%) with a lower, but still present, neurological risk [3].

Recently, D'Antiga et al. evaluated the safety and efficacy of a single intravenous infusion of an adeno-associated virus (AAV) vector encoding UGT1A1 in 5 CNS patients and 3 of them, treated with a higher dose, had a decrease in bilirubin levels below 300 µmol per liter (17.5 mg/dl) and were able to stop phototherapy for the next

¹Department of Translational Medical Science, Section of Pediatrics, University of Naples Federico II, Via Sergio Pansini 5, 80131 Naples, Italy



18 months of observation [4]. However, a complete bilirubin level normalization was never reached in any case. Although gene therapy is a promising curative option for CNS, many doubts still persist regarding its long-term effectiveness and safety. Indeed, the effectiveness time of a single infusion of AAV transgene vector is still undefined [5]. As well as, it has been reported that in patients with hemophilia, gene therapy can induce development of persistent, high-titer, cross-reactive AAV neutralizing antibodies which can preclude the possibility of further vector administrations [6]. Finally, multiple AAV-vectors infusions raise the potential threat of genotoxicity [7]. There is therefore the risk that the attractiveness of gene therapy can arouse excessive illusions in patients and lead to the neglect of several unresolved problems still present in the management of CNS. We believe that this key point is probably common to many rare diseases.

For example, a critical issue regards phenobarbital (PB) treatment. In CNS-II, the treatment with PB stimulates UGT1A1 gene transcription, increasing UDP-glucuronosyltransferase levels and reducing plasma unconjugated bilirubin concentrations by 30% or more [3]. This pharmacological treatment is historically used to discriminate between type I and type II CNS. Although it is commonly used in CNS patients, as also emerges from the D'Antiga

© The Author(s) 2024. Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*}Correspondence:

Raffaele Iorio

riorio@unina.it

study, it is not considered in neonatal hyperbilirubinemia guidelines [4, 8, 9]. This is probably due to the fact that, several decades ago, combined therapy of PB and phototherapy showed no advantage over phototherapy alone in the treatment of neonatal jaundice [8]. Unfortunately, since phototherapy is not easily available at home, newborns with indirect hyperbilirubinemia who have an intermediate picture between CNS-I and CNS-II may not benefit from this drug due to the lack of clear guidelines. Even the commonly used dose of 3–5 mg/kg/day has not been defined in the literature.

Newborns who after the first weeks of life have bilirubin values just below 20 mg/dl represent a challenge not addressed in the available literature. They do not require phototherapy and may be discharged from the neonatal units, but pending the results of molecular analysis, such infants run the risk of having potentially neurotoxic bilirubin elevations at home. As mentioned above, for these patients there are no indications for the use of PB. In addition, there are objective difficulties in providing home phototherapy units for suspected CNS newborns after discharge, because setting up home phototherapy is expensive and equipment is not easily obtainable, not just in resource-limited settings.

Since the first description of CNS, phototherapy has been the primary measure to control bilirubin levels. Surprisingly, the first 'guidelines' providing principles for effective phototherapy in CNS patients were only published in June 2020, when Strauss et al. clarified which type of light source should be used, the distance of the light source from the skin, the exposed body surface area and the duration of light exposure to be used [10].

Last but not least, liver transplantation (LT) is the only definitive treatment able to normalize bilirubin levels in CNS. Currently, it does not exist any evidence-based recommendation regarding not only the indication, but also the timing of LT in CNS patients. It is not clear when in the course of the disease LT should be considered, nor what clinical and laboratory criteria (total serum bilirubin? total bilirubin/albumin ratio? loss of efficacy of phototherapy?) should be evaluated in order to refer CNS patients to liver transplantation centre. Although phototherapy has the undisputed merit of allowing long survival with at least an initial saving of neurological involvement, in addition to the risk of dehydration in young children, it inevitably undergoes a progressive loss of effectiveness over time caused by the decrease in the surface/volume ratio and the thickening of the skin during growth. Moreover, the impact on quality of life and interpersonal relationships should not be overlooked [10]. Although the issue has already been discussed [11], in the era of gene therapy, uncertainties about the real role of LT are increasing, so much so that there is a risk that CNS patients will worsen seriously while waiting for gene therapy and will not benefit from the transplant option.

In conclusion, 70 years following its first description, CNS is still a morbid and potentially fatal disorder. Transplant and non-transplant therapeutic strategies should be better defined by international evidence-based guidelines. This letter is a call for action on these crucial open issues that remain nowadays an unmet need in the management of CNS patients.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable

Authors' contributions

FDD and GDU drafted the initial version of the manuscript. FDD and RI conceptualized and substantially revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Fundina

Not applicable.

Data availability

Not applicable.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 10 December 2023 / Accepted: 23 February 2024 Published online: 07 March 2024

References

- Crigler JF Jr, Najjar VA. Congenital familial nonhemolytic jaundice with kernicterus; a new clinical entity. AMA Am J Dis Child. 1952;83(2):259–60.
- Arias IM, Gartner LM, Cohen M, Ezzer JB, Levi AJ. Chronic nonhemolytic unconjugated hyperbilirubinemia with glucuronyl transferase deficiency. Clinical, biochemical, pharmacologic and genetic evidence for heterogeneity. Am J Med. 1969;47(3):395–409.
- Bortolussi G, Muro AF. Advances in understanding disease mechanisms and potential treatments for Crigler–Najjar syndrome. Expert Opin Orphan Drugs. 2018;6(7):425–39.
- D'Antiga L, Beuers U, Ronzitti G, Brunetti-Pierri N, Baumann U, Di Giorgio A, et al. Gene Therapy in patients with the Crigler-Najjar Syndrome. N Engl J Med. 2023;389(7):620–31.
- Aronson SJ, Ronzitti G, Bosma PJ. What's next in gene therapy for Crigler-Najjar syndrome? Expert Opin Biol Ther. 2023;23(2):119–21.
- George LA, Ragni MV, Rasko JEJ, Raffini LJ, Samelson-Jones BJ, Ozelo M, et al. Long-term Follow-Up of the First in Human Intravascular Delivery of AAV for Gene transfer: AAV2-hFIX16 for severe Hemophilia B. Mol Ther. 2020;28(9):2073–82.
- Sabatino DE, Bushman FD, Chandler RJ, Crystal RG, Davidson BL, Dolmetsch R, et al. Evaluating the state of the science for adeno-associated virus integration: an integrated perspective. Mol Ther. 2022;30(8):2646–63.
- Cuperus FJ, Hafkamp AM, Hulzebos CV, Verkade HJ. Pharmacological therapies for unconjugated hyperbilirubinemia. Curr Pharm Des. 2009;15(25):2927–38.
- 9. Kemper AR, Newman TB, Slaughter JL, Maisels MJ, Watchko JF, Downs SM, et al. Clinical practice Guideline Revision: management of

Hyperbilirubinemia in the Newborn Infant 35 or more weeks of Gestation. Pediatrics. 2022;150(3):e2022058859.

- Strauss KA, Ahlfors CE, Soltys K, Mazareigos GV, Young M, Bowser LE, et al. Crigler-Najjar Syndrome Type 1: Pathophysiology, Natural History, and Therapeutic Frontier. Hepatology. 2020;71(6):1923–39.
- Macchiaiolo M, Bartuli A, McKiernan P, Dionisi-Vici C, de Ville de Goyet J. Too late to say it is too early-how to get children with non-cirrhotic metabolic diseases transplanted at the right time? Pediatr Transpl. 2012;16(7):671–4.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.